

**REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3 - 11, 13 - 23, 25 - 30, 32, 34, 35, 39, 41 - 43, 45 and 46 are pending for consideration in the present application. Claims 2, 12, 24, 31, 33, 36 - 38, 40 and 44 were previously canceled. Applicant is requesting reconsideration of the application.

The Office Action appears was erroneously prepared. For example, the Office Action:


- (a) presents rejections of claims 12, 24, 33, 36, 37, 38, 40 and 44 are rejected, but these claims were previously canceled;
- (b) does not address features that Applicant introduced into the independent claims in an amendment dated March 15, 2010; and
- (c) does not address claims 45 and 46.

On September 24, 2010, Attorney John Yankovich, i.e., Applicant's representative, spoke with Examiner Phan. Examiner Phan acknowledged that the Office Action is erroneous, and agreed to issue a new office action.

Applicant is requesting that the Office please issue the new office action.

October 26, 2010  
Date

Respectfully submitted,



John Yankovich  
Reg. No. 42,240  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.  
One Landmark Square, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Stamford, CT 06901-2682  
Tel: 203-327-4500  
Fax: 203-327-6401